

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

08/982,965

Applicant(s)

LOWELL, GEORGE H.

Examiner

Budens

Art Unit

1648

All Participants:(1) David Venci.(2) Thomas Wiseman.**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 22 August 2003**Time:** 10:15 A.M.**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

misnumbered claims 9-12

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Discrepancies in claim numbering was discussed. Applicant's Amendment, dated October 21, 2002, (a.k.a. "Paper No. 26") contained amendments to claims 9-12. This claim numbering was based on a prior misnumbering in Applicant's Amendment, dated September 27, 2001, (a.k.a. "Paper No. 21"), which Applicant did not correct in Paper No. 26. Examiner and Applicant reached an agreement with respect to: (1) In Paper No. 21, misnumbered claims 9-10 are renumbered 10-11, respectively; and (2) In Paper No. 26, misnumbered claims 9-12 are renumbered 10-13, respectively.